# Crystal-Structure Analysis of Weddellite, CaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. (2+x)H<sub>2</sub>O

BY CLARENCE STERLING

Department of Food Science and Technology, University of California, Davis, California, U.S.A.

(Received 4 August 1964)

Weddellite (calcium oxalate polyhydrate) crystallizes in space group I4/m, with the unit-cell dimensions,  $a=12\cdot30$ ,  $c=7\cdot34$  Å; Z=8. The water content is 2+x ( $x \le 0.5$ ), the fractional portion being zeolitic. The oxalate ion has at least the symmetry 2mm and probably mmm. The calcium ion is coordinated with 8 oxygen atoms, arranged in the form of a distorted cubic antiprism,  $\overline{8}$ .

#### Introduction

Weddellite is the tetragonal crystal of a calcium oxalate polyhydrate. It has been found in plants (Frey-Wyssling, 1935; Philipsborn, 1952), in the renal and urinary calculi of animals (Prien & Frondel, 1947: Gasser, Brauner & Preisinger, 1956), in fossil deposits of the Weddell Sea (Bannister & Hey, 1936), and in beer fermentation casks. It has also been produced synthetically (Klasens, Perdok & Terpstra, 1937; Pobeguin, 1943; Honegger, 1952). It has not been the subject of a crystal-structure analysis. Moreover, its exact hydrate composition is moot. The water content in moles per mole of Ca has been given as 3 (Frey-Wyssling, 1935; Klasens, Perdok & Terpstra, 1937; Pobeguin, 1943), as 2.5 (Honegger, 1952), as 2.25 (Walter-Lévy & Laniepce, 1962), as 2 plus a zeolitic quantity up to 2.5 (Philipsborn, 1952), and as 2 (Bannister and Hey, 1936; Gasser, Brauner & Preisinger, 1956).

## Materials and methods

Single crystals were supplied from a kidney calculus through the kindness of Prof. A. Preisinger. The powder diagram of this material was that of typical weddellite (Prien & Frondel, 1947; Honegger, 1952). Density was determined by flotation in mixtures of toluene and 1,2-dibromoethane, whose weight was measured in a standard pycnometer. Unit-cell dimensions were obtained with Weissenberg and precession cameras. Intensity data (636 observed and 115 unobserved reflections) were taken photographically *via* the equi-inclination method, the crystal ( $0.1 \times 0.25$  mm) being rotated about [100]. No absorption corrections were applied.

Computations were made on the IBM 7090 and the IBM 7040 computers, with the programs referred to previously (Sterling, 1964).

## Results

The unit-cell dimensions are  $a = 12 \cdot 30 \pm 0 \cdot 02$ ,  $c = 7 \cdot 34 \pm 0 \cdot 02$  Å. Density of the fluid in which the crystal just sinks is 2.000 g.cm<sup>-3</sup>. The closest number of whole

molecules of a dihydrate is 8 and of a trihydrate 7. (The calculated density for a dihydrate is 1.962.) For symmetry reasons, the correct number of molecules must be 8, rather than 7. The hydrate state for 8 molecules of salt, with the measured density, corresponds to 2.17.

The only reflections that occur are hkl: h+k+l=2n. The unit cell is thus body-centered, and the systematic extinctions indicate the possible space-groups I4/m, I4, and I4. The first of these was favored by Honegger (1952), and subsequently that was found here to be the only one in which the structure could be refined. Because the multiplicity of the general position in I4/mis 16, the calcium atom must occupy a special position and the two or three water oxygen atoms may do so, or may be statistically disordered about special positions.

Positional parameters for Ca, the oxalate group, and one of the water oxygens could be derived from the three-dimensional Patterson distribution. The calcium atom and a water oxygen atom were placed in the mirror plane (001). With a second water oxygen atom also in a position in (001), as reasonably indicated by the Patterson map, the structure of the dihydrate thus obtained was refinable by four least-squares cycles (minimizing  $[(w^{\frac{1}{2}}|F_o-F_c|^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , with w assigned unit weight) to yield an R value of 0.179. (R is given by  $\Sigma ||F_{q}| |F_c||/\Sigma|F_o|$ .) A Fourier synthesis of  $F_o-F_c$  at this point showed an extra peak, with a maximum density of about 2-3 e.Å<sup>-3</sup>, on the fourfold axis, relatively remote from the other atoms. This small peak was confirmed in a Fourier synthesis of  $F_0$  alone. The peak was taken to represent a third water oxygen atom, whose presence on the fourfold axis would accord with 2.5molecules of water per calcium atom. However, an oxygen atom in this position, although improving Rto 0.174, had a very large thermal parameter (B =17 Å<sup>2</sup>).

On the assumption that the crystal is zeolitic, smaller fractions of a water molecule were placed in the indicated position. With 0.4 oxygen atom here, R was lowered to 0.171 and B to 5.7 Å<sup>2</sup>. With 0.2 oxygen atom, R was 0.172 and B was negative. Placement of the fractional oxygen atom near, but not on, the four-

Table 1. Observed (FOB) and calculated (FCA) structure factors for weddellite

H.L= ú. C	-5 38 14	5 15 17	-7 42 44	4 114 98	-14 11 19	3 31 - 26	-3 102 106	5 42 47	-14 26 35
# FOP FCA 2 151-153	-7 34 27 -9 38 -32	7 24 2C -1 4 -6	-9 35 -38 -11 38 36	6 4 -8 3 34 30	⊦,L= 4, l	5 4 3 7 23 22	-5 72 -56 -7 69 64	7 57 -65 9 67 83	H,L= 7, 2
4 123 116 6 12 -21	-11 26 24 -13 39 35	-3 4 8 -5 47 -43	H,L= 2, 6	1C 21 15 -2 7C 71	K FCB FCA 1 16 -11	9 55 -52 -1 77 -68	-9 28 -22 -11 21 -19	-1 4 -C -3 4 -8	K FLB FCA. 1 19 -14
R 22 20	-15 25 -18	H,L= 1, 9	K FC-1 FCA 0 66 -68	-4 73 -66	3 54 -51 5 4 12	-3 44 39 -5 4 8	-13 36 28	-7 40 35	5 22 21
H,L= 0, 1 K EGB ECA	N;+L= 1; 1 K FUR FCA	0 10 7 7 73 74	2 39 44 4 21 -16 6 33 -12	-10 31 25 -10 4 -2	5 60 -60	-9 44 38	K FOR FCA	-11 66 73	946
1 16 -21	2 98 93	4 79 - 67	8 34 35	-14 29 -29	-1 204-226	H,L= 4, 8 K FOB FCA	2 27 24 4 31 28	H.L= 6, 4	13 33 -37 -1 37 38
5 23 24	6 114 129 8 51 -41	-4 58 51	-4 15 -9 -6 29 -27	H,L= 3, 4 K FD8 FC4	-5 29 23 -7 81 -74	0 4 1 2 16 -15	6 35 33 8 4 -16	K FOB FCA C 55 54	-3 73 -66 -5 77 84
۲ ۶۱ 33 ۱۱ 4 1	-2 11 4 -4 22 -11	H,L= 2, 0 K FOB FCA	-8 24 23 -1C 23 -26	1 32 30 3 4C - 34	-9 69 64 -11 35 -35	4 15 19 6 9 -11	10 4 18 -2 4 4	2 4 -3 4 18 14	-7 62 -49
13 14 15 15 9 -11	-6 103 -83 -8 25 21	2 42 - 37 4 4 1	-12 35 36	5 108 106	-13 28 35	-2 37 -29 -4 26 19	-6 28 -27	8 1C -2C	-13 29 -24
H,L= U, 2 K FOB FCA	-12 64 -68	8 94 99 10 4 -28	K FOR FCA	-1 28 -19	K FCB FCA C 35 -47	H.L= 4. 9	H,L= 5, 6	-4 13 -13 -6 4 -6	H,L= 7, 3 K FCB FCA
0 164 103	H,L= 1, 2	12 53 48 -2 32 -32	3 29 22 5 4 -0	-5 9C 74 -7 91 -60	2 37 - 36 4 26 25	K FGB <del>F</del> CA 1 57 51	K FOB FCA 1 4 9	-8 19 -14 -1C 12 8	0 49 56 2 15 -3
4 43 -47 6 46 48	K FOB FCA 1 29 -28	-4 57 46 -6 77 -69	7 22 -15 -1 34 32	-9 4 -7 -11 4C 33	6 35 -33 8 33 -42	-1 77 -67	3 28 24 5 52 -54	-12 26 -30	4 26 -19 6 38 35
8 62 -69 10 98 101	3 28 - 20 5 40 29	-8 96 89 -10 20 -21	-3 15 -12	-13 27 -23	10 20 41 12 4 -7 -2 102 -61	H+L= 5, 0 K FCP FCA	7 4 3 9 4 2 11 24 - 26	H,LI 0, 7 K FCB FCA	-4 23 21
17 41 -58 14 11 16 16 14 18	-1 34 -28	H.I = 2. 1	-9 35 -31	K FOB FCA	-4 36 25	3 9C 93 5 73 -70	-1 74 -66	3 52 5C 5 28 - 27	-8 42 50 -10 5 -4
H.L= 0, 3	-5 117 -96	K FOR FCA	H,L≖ 2, 8 K FOB <b>FCA</b>	2 23 -23 4 28 22	-8 27 -21 -1C 14 14	7 29 22 9 4 2	-5 62 -54 -7 84 79	7 4 -4 9 32 35	-12 38 -42
K FUB FCA 1 110 106	-9 25 -21 -11 15 -18	3 13 6 5 36 31	0 26 -27 2 40 37	6 74 -80 8 25 27	-12 4 4	11 31 -30 -1 114-149	-9 39 -38	11 25 - 34	H,L= 7, 4 K FCB FCA
3 14 15 5 4 -4	H,L= 1, 3	7 49 -53 9 41 34	4 37 -29	10 4 6	H,L= 4, 3 K FCB FCA	-3 127 152 -5 65 -70	H,L= 5, 7 K FOB FCA	-5 34 33	3 55 -60
7 65 56 9 29 - 32	0 109 106	-1 85 93	-6 4 -1 -6 39 43	-4 24 15	3 70 -66	-9 39 -34	2 4 12	-9 17 -2C -11 18 16	7 33 -33
13 12 -12	4 121-110 -2 164-171	-5 33 28 -7 35 32	H,L= 2, 9	-8 4 -12 -10 26 -21	7 23 21 5 61 -81	-13 64 60 -15 27 -43	6 4 -8 -2 4 -0	H.L= 6. 6	-3 53 -45 -5 26 31
H,L∓ O, 4 K FQ8 FC∆	-4 134 136 -6 96 -97	-9 85 -75 -11 49 39	K FOB FCA 1 35 -31	-12 48 39	11 14 25 13 21 -25	H,L= 5, 1	-4 4 3 -6 11 7	K FOB FCA C 28 23	-7 38 -33
0 84 102 2 52 -49	-8 97 71 -10 4 $-16$	H,L= 2, 2	-1 21 24	H+L= 3+ 6 K FOB FCA	-1 123-110 -3 112 98	0 19 24 2 32 28	H+L= 5, 8 K FCB FCA	4 41 38 6 18 -22	-11 20 32
6 64 54 8 42 -40	-14 32 32	0 36 -40	H.L. 3. 0 K FOB FCA	3 33 -29 5 112 101	-7 30 -19 -9 29 22	4 26 23 6 22 -13	1 48 -43 3 9C 75	8 4 -4 1C 13 15	K FCB FCA 0 18 -17
10 69 90 12 36 -52	H+L= 1+ 4 K FUB FCA	4 100 -91 6 23 -18	1 24 -28 3 15 -7	7 28 -25 9 17 13	-11 70 -58 -13 30 31	8 23 -19 10 15 13	5 43 -43 -1 10 12	-2 31 -32 -4 4 -C	2 37 43 4 94 -97
14 11 18	1 19 -11 3 29 -19	8 65 65	5 164 152 7 4 -11	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H.L= 4. 4 K ECA ECA	-2 36 31 -4 16 12	-3 27 24 -5 46 -43	-6 18 -22 -8 16 -19	6 26 24 8 42 -49 -2 13 -7
H,L= U, 5 K FUB FCA	5 26 -22 7 20 16 -1 19 -11	-4 36 -36	11 66 53 -1 85 86	-5 29 29	C 25 -22 2 29 -28	-8 32 21 -10 4 -5	⊬.L= 6. 0 K FCB FCA	H,L= 6, 7	H,L= 7, 6
3 4 5 5 4 3	-3 33 30	-8 72 62 -10 66 -59	-3 14 -7 -5 103 93	-9 4 -0 -11 17 15	4 4 8 6 14 - 13	-12 23 -20 -14 4 -9	2 73 -69 4 57 54	K FOB FCA 1 48 -57	K FCB FCA 1 19 21
7 21 -17	-7 14 14 -9 15 -15	-12 43 44	-7 111 -96	H,L= 3, 7	8 4 -17 1C 20 34	H,L= 5, 2	6 27 -25 -2 65 -78	3 35 31 5 4 7	3 64 -55 5 75 79
11 4 -L Hal= 0. 6	-11 14 -16 Hala 1a 5	H+L≠ 2+ 3 K FOB FCA 1 159-171	-13 47 -49	C 4 -0 2 14 -12	-2 52 -50	1 89 -96 3 146 159	-4 15 -15 -6 25 -25 -8 4 -5	-1 42 48	-1 19 20
K FÚB FCĂ 0 137 148	K FOB FCA 0 4 1	3 71 71 5 33 -26	H,L= 3, 1	4 44 39 6 32 -29	-6 19 14 -8 14 -15	5 62 -63 7 78 84	-1C 41 48 -12 22 -24	-5 4 -8	H.L= 7. 7
2 67 -68 4 26 16	2 59 50 4 76 - 78	7 19 -7 9 36 24	K FOB FCA C 20 -26	8 22 21 -2 29 22	-1C 28 32 -12 16 -11	9 37 -50 11 4 2	H.L= 6, 1	H,L= 6, 8	K FCB FCA 0 10 10
6 30 23 8 4 -12 10 29 40	-2 42 -39 -4 4 -4	-1 16 11 -3 27 -21 -5 36 34	2 37 27 4 80 69 6 65 -59	-4 31 - 20 -6 36 31 -8 26 - 23	H,L= 4, 5 K FCB FCA	-3 66 59	1 74 -83 3 71 64	C 20 2C	4 34 -36
12 37 -41	-6 107-100 -8 21 25	-7 4 -3 -9 21 -26	8 63 46 -2 11 6	H,L= 3, 8	1 4 -4 3 24 15	-7 28 21 -9 4 6	5 4 5 7 41 -43	4 28 -19 -2 10 -8	-2 20 -15
H,L≠ O, 7 K FUB FCA	-10 4 3 -12 49 -37	-11 65 67	-4 57 -51 -6 71 64	K FOB FCA 1 4 8	5 25 -20 7 20 27	-11 39 -42 -13 48 50	9 33 42 -1 111 129	-4 8 -11	-6 34 -31
$1 21 14 \\ 3 4 -0 \\ 5 4 2$	H,L= 1, 6 K 500 504	H,L= 2, 4 K FOB FCA	-10 4 6	5 28 24	11 66 69	H+L= 5, 3 K FCP FCA	-5 20 -13	K FOR FCA	K FCB FC4
7 4 10	1 15 -12	2 91 96	-14 19 -26	-1 4 4 -3 37 -28	-3 27 22	0 4 -4 2 36 34	-9 68 -70 -11 35 35	3 107 -96 5 100 105	3 34 -35
11 4 -3	5 78 -66 -1 15 -12	6 19 -20 8 33 40	H,L= 3, 2 K FOH FCA	-5 92 75 -7 34 -35	-7 95 -97 -9 58 56	4 4 7 6 55 - 55	-13 28 -35	7 47 -42 -1 76 87	-3 40 -38
H,L= 0, 8 K FUB FCA	-3 26 15	10 52 -61	1 4 -2 3 55 -44	H.L= 3, 9	-11 56 -52	10 4 8	H,L= 6, 2 K FCR FCA	-5 3C 22	K FCB FCA
C 42 44 2 26 - 27	-1 25 21	-4 30 -28	7 80 -66	-2 25 23	F .L= 4, 0 K FCB FCA	-4 22 20	2 12 7	-9 46 28	4 37 -41
4 4 1 6 21 20 8 43 - 43	P+L= 1, / K FO8 FCA 0 21 14	-10 44 -42	9 20 20 11 10 11 -1 26 -20	H.L= 4. O K FOB FCA	2 14 -9	-8 4 2 -10 24 24	4 38 - 38 6 17 20 8 19 - 36	-13 49 -51	-4 50 -44
H,L= 0, 9	2 39 32 4 80 -68	H,L= 2, 5	-3 44 -44	2 55 46 4 -2	6 4 -0 8 15 -13	-12 4 7	1C 24 38 -2 21 -18	₽.L= 7. 1 K FUB FCA	H.L= 8, 1 K FC8 FCA
K FCB FC4 1 9 7	6 45 48 -2 47 -43	K FOB FCA 1 41 -39	-1 87 -73	6 18 -15 8 53 -44	1C 4 11 -2 20 -16	H.L= 5, 4 K FCP FCA	-4 3C -33 -6 24 20	C 8 7 2 38 32 4 74 - 74	1 18 21
H.L= 1. C	-6 51 -57	1 4 2 5 4 4 7 4 - 7	-13 22 -26	12 39 -37 -2 8 1	-4 42 38 -6 42 38 -8 26 -20	3 84 74 5 51 -56	-1C 4 8 -12 31 -32	6 39 30	-3 45 46
3 /9 86 5 169-149	H,L= 1, 8	9 25 33 11 51 -52	H,L= 3, 3	-4 4 -2 -6 6C 54	-10 23 24	7 24 31 9 20 -21	F.L= 6, 3	-4 23 18 -6 44 -43	H,L# 8, 2 K FCB FCA
7 89 87 9 30 -36	K FO3 FCA 1 4 -6	-1 58 50	K FOR FCA 0 13 15	-H 38-41 -10 4 1	H.L= 4, 7 K FCB FCA	11 4 -12 13 42 53 -1 24 -22	K FUP FCA 1 78 -97 3 26 25	-8 45 47 -10 19 16 -12 16 -13	0 51 -69 2 49 62 -2 56 65
-3 26 -29	544	-7 24 24	2 20 - 21	12 31 - 30				+>	

918

fold axis led to higher values of *B* and *R* and to unreasonable position shifts of that atom. Because 0.4 oxygen atom gives the best computed results and because it represents a hydrate composition of 2.2, close to the measured value of 2.17, that fraction was used in further refinements.

Although up to this stage the unobserved reflections were considered as having the  $F_o$  value of 0, they were



Fig. 1. Fourier electron-density distribution in asymmetric unit of weddellite. Ca-calcium, C-carbon, numbered atomsoxygen. Each atom is sectioned in the plane of its maximum electron density.



Fig. 2. Cell of weddellite. Atoms of primitive cell have bolder outlines or are solid. Smallest atoms are calcium, next larger ones are carbon, and largest are oxygen, some of which are numbered, as in text and tables (see Table 2, footnote). Atoms of oxalate groups are connected with solid lines. Broken lines connect calcium ions in primitive cell with the oxygen atoms which they are coordinated. Solid oxygen atoms represent water molecules, 5 and 6 lying in the mirror plane (001). The solid calcium atoms also lie in (001).

were next treated as having half the value of the lowest observed intensity. Least-squares refinement on this basis lowered R to 0.141. With the calcium atom permitted anisotropic, instead of isotropic, thermal movement, R could be only slightly improved, to 0.138. (The essentially circular contours of the electron density map of the Ca atom, Fig. 1, also verify an isotropic thermal movement.) Without the unobserved reflections, R is 0.130.

Values of  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  are presented in Table 1. The Fourier map of the atoms of the asymmetric unit is given in Fig. 1, each atom being sectioned at its peak electron density. The whole cell is shown in Fig. 2, in which it may be seen that the oxalate ions are placed with the C-C bond perpendicular to the (001) plane. Indeed, owing to the symmetry of this space-group, the mirror plane bisects the C-C bond (Fig. 3). Hydrogen atoms could not be detected in a Fourier synthesis of  $F_o-F_c$ .

With the numbering of the oxygen atoms as indicated in Figs. 1–3, atomic coordinates and thermal parameters are listed in Table 2. Distances between respective atoms are shown in Table 3 and angles between bonds in Table 4. The calcium atom is coordinated with 8 oxygen atoms (the 2 water oxygen atoms of the dihydrate, 4 oxalate oxygen atoms of its two immediate neighbors in the primitive cell, and 1 oxalate oxygen atom each from the translated primitive cell above and below, respectively). The arrangement of these oxygen atoms is roughly that of a cubic antiprism,  $\overline{8}$ .

 

 Table 2. Atomic coordinates and thermal parameters of the asymmetric unit of weddellite

				Isotropic
				temperature
Atom*	x	у	Z	factor
Ca	0.200	0.300	0	0.38
O(1)	0.356	0.246	0.181	1.03
O(2)	0.236	0.463	0.181	2.17
O(5)	0.144	0.114	0	1.79
O(6)	0.020	0.386	0	2.50
<b>O</b> (7)	0.500	0.200	0.210	5.61
C)	0.445	0.242	0.105	0.91

\* Atom designations for Tables 2-4 are indicated on Figs. 1-3.



Fig. 3. Oxalate ion, as it would be seen in projection on (100). It is bisected by a symmetry plane, m.

Table	3.	Interatomic	distances	in	weddellite,
		less th	an 3∙0 Å		

Between atoms*	Distance	Standard deviation
Ca-O(5)	2·40 Å	0·01 Å
Ca-O(6)	2.45	0.01
Ca = O(1), O(3)	2.44	0.01
Ca-O(2), O(4)	2.44	0.01
Ca = O(1'), O(3')	2.50	0.01
C(1)–Ò(ĺ)	1.23	0.01
C(1) - O(2)	1.26	0.01
C(1) - C(2)	1.55	0.02
O(5) - O(1'), O(3')	2.91	0.01
O(5) - O(2'), O(4')	2.93	0.01
O(6)-O(61)	2.84	0.02
$O(6 - O(2^{\circ}) O(4^{\circ})$	2.87	0.01

\* In Tables 3 and 4, primed numbers refer to a nearby atom of the primitive cell that has been translated to the position  $\frac{1}{2} + x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ .  $6_1$  is centrosymmetrical to 6 about 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 0.

Table 4. Bond angles in weddellite

Atoms*	Angle	Standard deviation
O(1)-C(1)-O(2)	127·1°	0.8°
C(2) - C(1) - O(1)	117.0	0.5
C(2) - C(1) - O(2)	115.9	0.5
O(1') - O(5) - O(3')	107.1	0.4
O(2') - O(5) - O(4')	106.3	0.5
$O(6_1) - O(6) - O(2')$	118.2	0.4
O(2') - O(6) - O(4)'	109.6	0.5

#### \* See footnote, Table 3.

The oxalate ion has at least a basic symmetry of 2mm, its planarity being indicated by the  $360 \cdot 0^{\circ}$  sum of the angles about the carbon atom and the bisecting symmetry plane. Within the limits of error, the ion may also be considered to have the symmetry mmm, the differences between corresponding bond lengths and angles (Fig. 3) not being statistically significant.

The fractional water atom, O(7), is not closely related with any other atoms. Its closest neighbor is the symmetry (*m*) related atom, O(7'),  $3.06 \pm 0.12$  Å away. Its next closest neighbor is another water oxygen, O(5'),  $3.11 \pm 0.04$  Å removed. The third nearest neighbor is an oxalate oxygen atom, O(2'),  $3.29 \pm 0.01$  Å distant. Although it would seem that a closer bonding between O(7) and any of these other oxygen atoms could occur in a random manner, the data did not support a displacement of O(7) from the fourfold axis.

## Discussion

The oxalate ion has been assigned different symmetries, according to the compounds in which it occurs and the accuracy of the data from which its configuration has been derived. In oxalic acid its symmetry is reportedly *mmm* (Zachariasen, 1934), but Ahmed & Cruickshank (1953) indicate the symmetry as 2/m. In various salts, it has been shown to be closer to 2/m (Hendricks, 1935; Jeffrey & Parry, 1954). However, Fujita, Nakamoto & Kobayashi (1957) assumed that the angles were incor-

rect in the data of Jeffrey & Parry (1954) and that the ion symmetry is really *mmm*. The bound oxalate ion of Niekerk & Schoening (1951) was given the symmetry 2mm by Fujita *et al.* (1957). The oxalate ion of some salts may be non-planar (Hendricks & Jefferson, 1936; Griffith, 1943; Jeffrey & Parry, 1952). In whewellite (calcium oxalate monohydrate), Cocco & Sabelli (1962) described two different oxalate ions in the asymmetric unit. One ion may have the symmetry 2/m or *mmm* and the other 2/m. Their data are not accurate enough to decide this point. In all probability, the true symmetry of the oxalate ion in weddellite is *mmm*, the environments of any pair of opposed sides of each oxalate group being essentially alike.

Hydrogen bonding would seem to be possible in several directions, according to O-O distances and O-O-O angles. Unfortunately, the hydrogen atoms are not available to help in the determination of the bonds. The pair of bonds O(5)-O(1') and O(5)-O(3') probably can be ruled out because the hydrogen atoms would be too close to the calcium atom. Thus O(5)-O(2') and O(5)-O(4') are likely hydrogen bonds about the water molecule at O(5). With O(6), there may be either the pair of bonds O(6)-O(2'), O(6)-O(4') or one of these two, together with  $O(6)-O(6_1)$ . The latter set seems preferable on the basis of linking whole cells together, but the angle,  $O(6_1)-O(6)-O(2')$ , is somewhat larger than might be desired (Table 4). No good hydrogen-bonding relationship seems reasonable with the fractional water atom at O(7).

The data show that the crystal of weddellite has a basic hydrate content of 2 moles of water per mole of calcium. A fractional additional amount may be held, zeolitically, up to half a mole of water per mole of calcium. The maximum hydrate content can thus be  $2.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}/\text{Ca}$ . This result accords with the findings of Philipsborn (1952).

The writer wishes to thank the J. S. Guggenheim Memorial Foundation for a fellowship grant, Prof. F. Machatschki and Prof. A. Preisinger for the use of facilities at the Mineralogical Institute of the University of Vienna, Prof. D. H. Templeton for helpful suggestions regarding hydrogen bonding relationships, and the Committee on Research and the Computer Centers of the University of California (Davis, Berkeley) for financial support.

#### References

- AHMED, F. R. & CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 385.
- BANNISTER, F. A. & HEY, M. H. (1936). *Discovery Reports*, 13, 60.
- Cocco, G. & SABELLI, C. (1962). Atti Soc. Tosc. Sci. Nat. Ser. A, 69, 289.
- FREY-WYSSLING, A. (1935). Die Stoffauscheidung der höheren Pflanzen. Berlin: J. Springer.
- FUJITA, J., NAKAMOTO, K. & KOBAYASHI, M. (1957). J. Phys. Chem. 61, 1014.

- GASSER, G., BRAUNER, A. & PREISINGER, A. (1956). Z. Urol. 49, 148.
- GRIFFITH, R. L. (1943). J. Chem. Phys. 11, 499.
- HENDRICKS, S. B. (1935). Z. Kristallogr. (A) 91, 48.
- HENDRICKS, S. B. & JEFFERSON, M. E. (1936). J. Chem. Phys. 4, 102.
- HONEGGER, R. (1952). Inaug.-Dissert. Zürich.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & PARRY, G. S. (1952). J. Chem. Soc., p. 4864.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & PARRY, G. S. (1954). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76, 5283.
- KLASENS, H. A., PERDOK, W. G. & TERPSTRA, P. (1937). Z. Kristallogr. (A) 96, 227.
- NIEKERK, J. N. VAN & SCHOENING, F. R. L. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 35.
- PHILIPSBORN, H. VON (1952). Protoplasma, 41, 415.
- POBEGUIN, T. (1943). Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. XI, 4, 1.
- PRIEN, E. L. & FRONDEL, C. (1947). J. Urol. 57, 949.
- STERLING, C. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1224.
- WALTER-LÉVY & LANIEPCE, J. (1962). C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 254, 1073.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1934). Z. Kristallogr. 89, 442.

Acta Cryst. (1965). 18, 921

# Optical Properties and Preliminary X-Ray Investigation of Retamine and its Bromide and Chloride

By J. M. BOSCH-FIGUEROA, L. MIRAVITLLES MILLÈ AND M. FONT-ALTABA

Crystallographic Department, C.S.I.C., University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

(Received 18 August 1964)

The morphological, optical and X-ray properties of retamine and its bromide and chloride have been determined. These compounds crystallize in the enantiomorphic monoclinic class, space group P21; they are biaxial positive with optical axial angles of  $66^{\circ}$  and  $77^{\circ}$  respectively. The bromide and chloride are isomorphous.

#### Introduction

Retamine, an alkaloid contained in *Genista sagittalis*, was isolated and studied by Prof. Ribas Marquès and his collaborators of the Department of Organic Chemistry at the University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain) (see *e.g.* Ribas Marquès, 1961; Font-Altaba, 1957; Bosch-Figueroa, 1963). The molecules of retamine and sparteine have similar structures, which differ only in the presence of a hydroxyl group in position 7 or 9; this is indicated by hydrogenation of retamine, which produces sparteine and not  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -isosparteine.

# Morphological and optical properties

Retamine and its bromide and chloride appear as colourless enantiomorphic crystals of tabular habit with a very simple combination of forms.

Retamine bromide presents the better crystals, with  $\{100\}$  as the predominant form, which confers on them a tabular habit (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Crystal of retamine bromide.

Crystals of retamine are of very varied size and thickness, very frequently with rounded edges and very rarely in quadrangular shapes. They lie frequently on the face (101).

Retamine chloride forms small crystals, and this makes its study difficult.

As retamine chloride is isomorphous with retamine bromide, we give in Table 1 the optical properties, and morphological and crystallographic data only of retamine and its bromide.

# Table 1. Morphological data of retamine and retamine bromide

	Retamin	e	Retamine bromide			
hkl	φ	Q	hkl	φ	Q	
001	90°0′	15°07′	100	90°0′	90° 0′	
Ī01	270 0	28 48	010	0 0	90 0	
011	11 15	54 08	001	90 0	10 38	
<u>1</u> 00	270 0	90 0	101	270 0	31 27	
			111	223 33	41 35	
a:b:c:	1.7137	:1:1.3565	a:b:c:	0.81704	:1:0.6440	
β	105°07		β	100°38		

By means of the polarizing microscope and the universal stage the orientation of the optical ellipsoid was determined. Refractive indices were measured by the immersion method. The results are shown in Table 2. Stereographic projections of retamine and retamine bromide are shown in Fig. 2.